

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 10186 of 2005

Between:

1. Yadavalli Prasad S/o.Satyanarayana Murthy,
Age 34 yrs, R/o. Annavaram,
East Godavari District.

2. Susarla Agnihotra Sastry, S/o. Venkata Satya
Narayana Murthy,
Age 39 yrs, R/o. Annavaram,
East Godavari District.

3. Chittem Venkatramana Murthy,
Age 32 yrs, R/o. Annavaram,
East Godavari District.

4. Indraganti Viswanatha Rama Sarma S/o.Rama Sastry,
Age 21 yrs, R/o. Annavaram,
East Godavari District.

5. Muthyavenkata Rao S/o.Ramana Murthy,
Age 29 yrs, R/o. Annavaram,
East Godavari District.

6. Kanchibhotla Sankar S/o.Venkata Rama Rao,

Age 28 yrs, R/o. Annavaram,
East Godavari District.

7. Vadali Narasimha Rao S/o.Lakshmi Narayana Sastry,
Age 32 yrs, R/o. Annavaram,
East Godavari District.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. The Commissioner of Endowments,
Tilak Road, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Vari Devasthanam rep. by
its Executive Officer, Annavaram,
E.G. District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the second respondent in publishing a notification calling for application for the posts of Paricharikas without considering petitioners application dated 02.01.2005 as illegal and arbitrary and issue a consequential directions to the respondents to absorb the petitioners as Paricharikas in the Devasthanam since they are working as such temporarily in the said Devasthanam for the last six ears or in the alternative consider the cases of the petitioners for recruitment on a preferential basis as Paricharikas taking into account their past service.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.D.V.SITARAMAMURTHY

Counsel for Respondent No.1: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for Respondent No.2:Smt N.Indrani

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

Petitioners cannot have any right to challenge the notification issued by the second respondent for the recruitment to the posts of Paricharikas. Recruitment to the said posts has to be done in accordance with the rules and regulations. Though it may be

the fact that the petitioners have been working as Paricharikas on temporary basis, they have to make applications for considering their cases and have to undergo selection process for the said posts.

Hence, the writ petition is devoid of merits and is dismissed at the stage of admission. No costs.

(K.C.BHANU,J)

Dt:28th April, 2005

usd

To

1. The Commissioner of Endowments,
Tilak Road, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Executive Officer, Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Vari
Devasthanam Annavaram,
E.G. District.
3. Two CCs to G.P. for Endowments, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad
(OUT).
4. Two CD copies.